

Ruling Report National Competition

Division : II A

Date : 17 December 2022

Match : Sandeman 2 – UAE 2

~~Open~~/Closed Room

Players: NS Christian Vanden Cruyce – Daniel Gelibter (UAE 2)

EW Patrick Finaut – Odette Van De Wiele (Sandeman 2)

Board : 18 Dealer : E Vulnerability : NS

Bidding

<p>♠ K 8 7 ♥ K 10 9 ♦ A J 10 8 5 ♣ J 7</p>	<p>N W E S</p>	<p>♠ J 10 6 4 ♥ --- ♦ K 9 7 2 ♣ Q 10 9 5 3</p> <p>♠ Q 9 5 3 2 ♥ Q J 8 4 ♦ 3 ♣ A K 6</p> <p>♠ A ♥ A 7 6 5 3 2 ♦ Q 6 4 ♣ 8 4 2</p>
--	-------------------------------	--

West	North	East	South
		1 ♠	?
?	?	?	?
?	?	?	?
Contract 4 ♠ by East			

Playing

	W	N	E	S	W	N	E
1				♦ 4	♦ A	♦ 7	♦ 3
2	♣ 7	♣ 3	♠ 2	♣ 2			
3			♠ 3	♠ A	♠ 7	♠ 4	
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							

Result and score :

4 ♠ = by E → 420 for EW (Sandeman 2)

Result and score in the other room :

3 NT +1 by EW → 430 for EW (UAE 2)

Balance : 10 or 0 IMP for UAE 2

Result of the match with this board

IMP : 72 – 78

Result of the match without this board

IMP : 72 – 78

Remarks from team A (Sandeman 2)

None

Remarks from team B (U.A.E. 2)

Le déclarant a renoncé à ♣ à la 2^{ème} levée et a signalé sa renonce après que la 3^{ème} levée a été complètement jouée. Le déclarant a évidemment fait des levées ultérieurement.

Analysis

The declarer revoked in the second trick by ruffing instead of following suit (Law 61.A). When the declarer led to the following trick the revoke became established (Law 63.A.1). Once a revoke is established, it may no longer be corrected, and the trick on which the revoke occurred stands as played (Law 63.B).

When a revoke is established there is an automatic trick adjustment. If the trick on which the revoke occurred was won by the offending player (here East), the trick on which revoke occurred is transferred to the non-offending side (NS) together with one of any subsequent tricks won by the offending side (EW) (Law 64.A.1). This means that NS get 2 additional tricks and the result on board 18 becomes 4 ♠ -2 by E → 100 for NS (U.A.E. 2).

I deem that the non-offending side is sufficiently compensated for the damage caused. Consequently there is no need to assign additionally an adjusted score (Law 64.C).

Decision

The declarer revoked in the second trick and he made that trick.

The result on board 18 becomes 4 ♠ -2 by E for a score of 100 for NS (U.A.E. 2). The balance on board 18 then becomes 530 or 11 IMP for U.A.E. 2 instead of 0 IMP

The result of the match Sandeman 2 – U.A.E. 2 becomes 72 – 89 in IMP instead of 72 – 78 or 6,71 – 13,29 in VP instead of 8,73 – 11,27.

Both teams can file an appeal against this decision within 7 calendar days. Please refer to the new regulations for the treatment of an appeal, which can be consulted on the website of the RBBF.

Done at Ruisbroek (Puurs-Sint-Amands) on 19 December 2022

Robert Ketels

Tournament Director for the national competition