


# **Ruling Report National Competition**

<u>Division</u>: III D <u>Date</u>: 08 October 2022

Players: NS Vincent MAROQUIN - Christian MARCHAL (Charleroi 2)

EW Tamara STOJANOVIC - Joost PENNINCK (DUA 2)

Board: 26 Dealer: E Vulnerability: None <u>Bidding</u>



West	North	East	South	
		PAS	Pas	
1 ♥	PAS	2 *A	4 🔥	
Dbl	PAS	PAS	PAS	
PAS				

#### Playing

	W	N	Е	S	W	N	Е
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							

Result and score:

 $4 \times X - 4$  by N - 4 => 1100 for EW (DUA 2)

Result and score in the other room : 3NT +2 by E => 660 for EW (Charleroi 2)

Balance : 440 for DUA 2 = + 10 imp

Result of the match with this board

IMP: 83-78

Result of the match without this board

IMP: 73-78

## Remarks from team A

Uitleg West over 2♣ (Drury) is correct. Bod van oost (2♣) is een vergissing

#### Remarks from team B

Aprés le Pass de East, West ouvre de 1 v. East enchérit 2 non alerté du côté N/E mais alerté du côté S/W comme étant Drury. South pouvant mettre maintenant ses 6 cartes non valeur et décide d'enchérir 4 nouve de 1 v. West contre pour 4 chutes.

Si South sait que East a des vrais trèfles, alors l'enchère normale est 2. Après alerte, West explique que 2. promet le fit troisième et 9-11 HCP. Son enchère sera donc de 4. avec 16HPC et 1 singleton. Nous demandons la transformation en 4. 1 by N.

### **Analysis**

Facts:

- 2\* was alerted by West and explained to South as "drury".
- 2♣ was not alerted by East.

The correct meaning of 2\* is shown on the convention card: "inverted drury; rebid of 2M is weak". The partnership agreement has thus been correctly explained by West to South. So there is no infraction at the South/West side of the screen.

When the partnership agreement has been explained correctly, there is no infraction and, regardless of damage, the result stands (law 75 C).

#### **Decision**

West explained correctly the partnership agreement. East deviated from his side's understandings. As there is no infraction, NS are not entitled to a rectification of the score. The result on board 26 in the closed room is maintained.

The result of the match DUA 2 – Charleroi 2 remains 83 – 78 in IMP or 11,07 – 8,93 in VP.

Additionally, I give to the EW pair Tamara STOJANOVIC - Joost PENNINCK (DUA 2) a 1 VP penalty because of system disruption of a basic convention in the first round.

Both teams can file an appeal against this decision. Please refer to the regulations for the treatment of an appeal, which can be consulted on the website of the RBBF.

Done at Deinze on 12 October 2022

Dirk Logghe

Tournament Director for the national competition