## Ruling Cercle Perron 4 - Pieterman 1 (2 Nat A) of 26 November 2016

Board 12 W/NS

<ul> <li>▲ 10 4</li> <li>♥ Q 9</li> <li>◆ J 4 2</li> <li>♣ A 9</li> </ul>	3 2	<ul> <li>★ 8 4</li> <li>◆ Q 6</li> <li>♣ K 1</li> <li>▲ A 5</li> <li>♥ A J</li> </ul>	55 107	<ul> <li>▲ Q 9 7</li> <li>◆ K 7 2</li> <li>◆ K 3</li> <li>♣ Q J 5 4 3</li> </ul>
Biddi	0	C	117	
Ν	E	S	W P	
Р	1NT	2♥	Р	
2♠	Р	3♦	Р	
3NT	Р	Р	Dbl	
Р	Р	Р		

## Facts

Declaration Team A. "On the third round of Hearts North says 'Sorry, I had a  $\checkmark$  in the previous trick.' He takes back the  $\blacklozenge$  he had played in that trick and plays his second  $\checkmark$ . After a small talk between East and West, West says: 'It's OK for me, it's my responsibility; I want to play normally.' West in hand with  $\checkmark Q$  plays  $\blacklozenge$  and the contract is made +1." Declaration Team B. "East opened 1NT (9-11). East leads  $\clubsuit 3$  for West's Ace. He continues with  $\bigstar 9$ . After a long thought  $\bigstar K$  is played in North. Diamonds from North is won by West, who then plays  $\checkmark$  for East's  $\checkmark K$ . East returns  $\checkmark$  for J and Q and North revokes by playing a small  $\bigstar$ .

West then plays  $\forall$  and North makes his contract +1."

## Ruling

North realized and announced his revoke before he or his partner had played in the next trick; therefore, the revoke was not established (Law 63). The revoke had to be corrected: the offender withdraws the card he played and substitutes a legal card (Law 62).

By withdrawing his small  $\blacklozenge$  and substituting it by a  $\blacklozenge$  North acted according to the Law. As he was declarer the  $\blacklozenge$  could be replaced into his hand without further rectification.

West played a card in the following trick, but after the correction of the revoke he was entitled to withdraw that card and return it to his hand.

Team A says that in the next trick West played a small  $\bigstar$ , whereas Team B says he played  $\heartsuit$ . Fact is that both parties agree that West returned the wrong card, as a result of which North made 10 tricks.

According to Team B West was completely put off by the commotion at the table after the revoke and as a result played the wrong card. However, this cannot be a reason to adjust the score as apparently the revoke was treated as it had to be.

## Decision

The result reached at the table stands

Paul Meerbergen