# Ruling B.C.O.B. 3 vs. U.A.E. I

RBBF Honour Division – Round 14 (28/10/2017) Open Room

#### Hands Board 3, South dealer, EW vulnerable **★** K | 3 ♥ K 6 5 3 ♦ 63 ♣ 10976 **♠** A 7 ♠ 109864 N ♥ A 10 9 ♡ | 8 7 2 Ε ♦ Q J 9 8 5 2 ♦ 10 S **♣** 5 2 **♣** K Q 3 **♠** Q 5 2 ♥ Q 4 ♦ A K 7 4 ♣ A J 8 4

## **Bidding**

West	North	North East	
			INT
Pass	Pass	DЫ	All pass

Dbl explained on NE-side as showing both majors. On SW-side: opening values or more..

**Lead** of ◊Q

# **Play**

É	S	W	N	E	S	W
		♦Q	3	10	Α	
	<b>\$</b> 2	Α	3	4		
		♦J	6		Н	
	<b>♠</b> 5	7	J	6		
			<b>♣</b> 10	3	4	2
			♣9	Q	Α	5
	<b>♣</b> 4		6	K		
♡2	4	♡ <b>A</b>	3			

West remains in hand with 4 good ◊.

# Result of this board

Open room INTx -I by NS I00 for EW (U.A.E. I) Closed room  $2^{\circ}$  -4 by EW 400 for NS (U.A.E. I)

# **Facts**

After play, South calls the TD and informs him that the explanation given on both sides is different. When asked what she would have done differently, she says that she would have played the  $\heartsuit$  differently. EW claim that it makes no difference and that the result would be one down always.

#### Ruling

After checking the convention cards of both parties, the correct explanation for the Dbl would have been an unspecified 2-suiter (at least 4-4) with 12 HCP.

Upon consulting another player, it can be deemed very unlikely that  $\heartsuit$  are played immediately following the  $\diamondsuit$  lead. (Given the correct explanation, small  $\clubsuit$  is played in the second trick.)

# **Decision**

The result on this board stands.

EW get a warning for not knowing their conventions.

Both teams have the right to appeal to this decision, according to chapter 9 from the national regulations regarding team games.

October 28<sup>th</sup>, 2017 Leuven

Martijn Verstraelen TD assigned by the RBBF